UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/565,409	03/21/2006	Michal Kalavsky	ZTP03P01030	2678	
	7590 02/18/201 E NBERG STEMER LI	EXAMINER			
POBOX 2480			FREAY, CHARLES GRANT		
HOLLYWOOD, FL 33022-2480			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		3746			
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			02/18/2010	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/565,409	KALAVSKY ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	

	Charles G. Freay	3746	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>05 February 2010</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS A		-	
The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Apperior Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	the same day as filing a Notice of a replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi ral (with appeal fee) in compliance	Appeal. To avoid abar t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Arno event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (IMONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth tter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejection	n.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount hortened statutory period for reply origi	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in completing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with AMENDMENTS 	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
3. X The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, b	out prior to the date of filing a brief	will not be entered be	Called
a) ∑ The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, to (a) (a) They raise new issues that would require further cor			cause
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	•	, ,	
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or	•	ducing or simplifying th	ne issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a c	orresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.	
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.13	16 and 41.33(a)).		
4. \square The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	1. See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).
5. 🔲 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):	·		
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) <u>25,30 and 33</u> would canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 	d be allowable if submitted in a sep	arate, timely filed ame	endment
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		I be entered and an ex	xplanation of
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: <u>25,30-33,37 and 38</u> .			
Claim(s) objected to: <u>25,50-35,57 and 36.</u> Claim(s) rejected: <u>20-22,24,26-28,34-36 and 39-41.</u> Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fails	s to provide a
10.	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attache	ed.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowand	ce because:
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (13. Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
	/Charles G Freay/ Primary Examiner, Art U	Init 3746	

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The amendment sets forth that the O-ring which holds the radial bearing in the rotor (corrsponding to the O-ring 30, page 6 lines 12-28) and sets forth that this O-ring also prevents liquid from entering the sliding bearing. However, page 4 line 30-32 make clear that it is a separate O-ring 13 shown in Fig. 2 which prevents liquid from entering the bearing. Consideration must also be given with regards to if this presents new matter.